LAW OFFICES
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20037-3213
TELEPHONE (202) 293-7060
FACSIMILE (202) 293-7860
www.sughrue.com

November 21, 2000

BOX PATENT APPLICATION
Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Re: Application of **Hans-Jurgen MATT, Michael WALKER,** and **Michael MAURER**

EXPONENTIAL ECHO AND NOISE REDUCTION IN SILENCE INTERVALS
Our Ref. Q61703

Dear Sir:

Attached hereto is the application identified above including 2 sheets of the specification, claims and abstract, 3 sheets of formal drawings, executed Assignment and PTO 1595 form, and executed Declaration and Power of Attorney. Also enclosed is the Information Disclosure Statement.

Please see attached preliminary amendment before calculating Government filing fee.

The Government filing fee is calculated as follows:

Total claims	23	-	20	=	3	x	$18.00	=	$54.00
Independent claims	1	-	3	=	0	x	$80.00	=	$.00
Base Fee									$710.00
TOTAL FILING FEE									**$764.00**
Recordation of Assignment									$40.00
TOTAL FEE									**$804.00**

Checks for the statutory filing fee of $764.00 and Assignment recordation fee of $40.00 are attached. You are also directed and authorized to charge or credit any difference or overpayment to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 and 1.17 and any petitions for extension of time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 which may be required during the entire pendency of the application to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. A duplicate copy of this transmittal letter is attached.

Priority is claimed from November 27, 1999 based on German Application No. 19957221.6. The priority document will be filed at a later date.

Respectfully submitted,
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN,
MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC
Attorneys for Applicant

By: [signature]
David J. Cushing
Registration No. 28,703

PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Hans-Jurgen MATT, et al. Attorney Docket Q61703

Appln. No.: Not yet assigned Group Art Unit: Not yet assigned

Filed: November 21, 2000 Examiner: Not yet assigned

For: EXPONENTIAL ECHO AND NOISE REDUCTION IN SILENCE INTERVALS

PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Prior to examination, please amend the above-identified application as follows:

IN THE SPECIFICATION:

Page 1, after the title insert the heading --**Background of the Invention**--.

Page 5, after line 9 (not including paragraph spacing) insert the heading --**Summary of the Invention**--.

Page 6,after line 1, insert:

--**Brief Description of the Drawings**

The invention will be more clearly understood from the following detailed description n conjunction with the accompanying drawings, wherein:

Fig.1 shows the control signal a_o in the presence of speech signals, during a silence interval, and when the speech signal resumes;

Fig.2 shows a scheme of an arrangement for controlled signal attenuation;

Fig.3a shows the function g(S/N) in linear approximation;

Fig.3b shows the corresponding function g'(N/S);

Fig.4a shows the function g(S/N) as a skewed bell curve, and

Fig.4b shows the corresponding function g'(N/S).

Detailed Description of the Invention--

Page 15, delete lines 18-22 in their entirety.

Page 16, delete lines 1-4 in their entirety.

IN THE CLAIMS:

Claim 4, line 1, delete "any one of the preceding claims" and insert --claim 1--.

Claim 5, line 1, delete "any one of the preceding claims" and insert --claim 1--.

Claim 6, line 1, delete "any one of claims 1 to 4" and insert --claim 1--.

Claim 9, line 1, delete "any one of claims 6 to 8" and insert --claim 6--.

Claim 10, line 1, delete "any one of claims 6 to 8" and insert --claim 6--.

Claim 11, line 1, delete "any one of claims 6 to 10" and insert --claim 6--.

Claim 12, line 1, delete "any one of the preceding claims" and insert --claim 1--.

13. (Amended) A method as claimed in claim 12 [and in any one of claims 6 to 11], characterized in that during a silence interval and/or in the presence of an echo signal and for $a_0(k) \le c_2$, where c_2 is a predefined constant, the power value of the noise level N in the communications channel currently being used is continuously measured and/or estimated, and that depending on the current noise level N, the control signal $a_0(k+1)$ is continuously adjusted according to $a_0(k+1) = f(N)$, where f(N) is a predetermined function of N, said method further characterized in that the control signal $a_0(k+1)$ is continuously adjusted according to $a_0(k+1) =$ h(N, S, ES, τ_E, ERL), where h(N, S, ES, τ_E, ERL) is a predetermined function of the noise level

N, the signal level S, the useful signal ES in the opposite direction from a speaking party, the constant delay τ_E of the echo signal, and an attenuation constant ERL of the amplitude of the echo signal

Claim 15, line 1, delete "any one of claims 12 to 14" and insert --claim 12--.

Claim 18, line 1, delete "any one of the preceding claims" and insert --claim 1--.

Claim 20, line 1, delete "any one of the preceding claims" and insert --claim 1--.

Claim 21, line 1, delete "any one of the preceding claims" and insert --claim 1--.

Claim 22, line 1, delete "any one of claims 1 to 21" and insert --claim 1--.

Claim 23, line 1, delete "any one of claims 1 to 21" and insert --claim 1--.

IN THE ABSTRACT:

Change the heading from "**Summary**" to --**ABSTRACT**--.

After the abstract, delete "(Fig. 1)".

REMARKS

Entry and consideration of this Amendment is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

David J. Cushing
Registration No. 28,703

SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN,
MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20037-3213
Telephone: (202) 293-7060
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

Date: November 21, 2000

Exponential echo and noise reduction in silence intervals

A method of reducing echo and/or noise signals in telecommunications systems for transmitting useful acoustic signals, particularly human speech, comprising determining by silence detection when the mixture of useful signals and interference signals contains a speech signal or when a silence interval is present, and varying, by means of a two-input multiplier, the amplitude of the useful signals, which are generally disturbed by echo and/or noise signals, in response to a time-dependent control signal $a_0(t)$ or a control signal $a_0(k)$ clocked at a sampling rate $f_T = 1/T$, where $k \in \aleph$ denotes the number of samples, and T denotes the period from one sample to the next.

Such a method is known, for example from DE 42 29 912 A1.

During natural communication between people, as a rule the amplitude of the spoken word is automatically adapted to the acoustic environment. However in remote spoken communication the speaking partners are not in the same acoustic environment, so neither is aware of the acoustical situation at the location of the other. The problem occurs particularly acutely when one of the partners is compelled by his acoustic surroundings

to speak very loudly, while the other partner is in a quiet acoustic environment and is producing speech signals of lower amplitude.

A further problem is that on a TK channel some noise of "electronic origin" is produced and this is co-transmitted as a background to the useful signal. Furthermore, it is also advantageous to attenuate or completely suppress distorting signals such as undesired background noise (noise from the street, the factory, the office, the canteen, aircraft noise, etc.). To enhance comfort while telephoning, it is generally attempted to keep every type of noise as low as possible.

Finally, in TK communications there also occur so-called echoes, which are present in two-wire TK networks as line echoes and can for example appear in simple and less comfortable TK terminals in the form of acoustical echoes.

In general therefore, in the transmission of a mixture of speech signals and distorting signals, it is important to reduce the amplitude of distorting signals such as noise and echoes as much as possible.

A known method for noise reduction is the so-called "spectral subtraction", as described for example in the publication "A new approach to noise reduction based on auditory masking effects" by S. Gustafsson and P. Jax, ITG Technical Conference, Dresden, 1998. This involves a spectral noise-reduction method in which an acoustic masking threshold (for example according to the MPEG Standard) is taken into account. The disadvantages of such methods are that determination of the said acoustic masking threshold is an elaborate process and that carrying out all the operations associated with the method entails considerable computational effort.

In spectral subtraction the noise in speech pauses is first measured and stored continuously in a memory in the form of a power density spectrum. The power density spectrum is obtained via a Fourier transformation. When speech occurs, the stored noise spectrum is subtracted as a "best current estimated value" from the actual distorted

speech spectrum and then back-transformed in the same time area, so that in this way a noise reduction for the distorted signal is obtained.

A further disadvantage of spectral subtraction is that by virtue of the process of noise estimation and subsequent subtraction which are inexact in principle, defects occur in the output signal which are noticeable as "musical tones". In addition, this known method is hardly appropriate for the suppression of echo signals in TK communication links.

In the extended spectral signal processing also described in the reference cited above, with the help of spectral subtraction the power density spectra for the noise and for the speech itself are first estimated. From a knowledge of these part-spectra, with the help for example of the rules of the MPEG Standard, a spectral acoustic masking threshold $R_T(f)$ for the human ear is then calculated. With the help of this masking threshold and the estimated spectra for noise and speech, a simple rule is then applied to compute a filter pass curve H(f) which is designed such that essential spectral portions of the speech are let through as unchanged as possible, while spectral portions of the noise are attenuated as much as possible.

The original distorted speech signal then need only be passed through this filter to obtain a noise reduction for the distorted signal. The advantage of the method is now that "nothing is added to or subtracted from" the distorted signal, so estimation errors have little perceptible effect or hardly any at all. The disadvantages are again the considerable computational

effort for spectral noise suppression and the need for upstream connection of an adaptive filter for echo suppression.

In the known compander method, as described for example in the patent DE42 29 912 A1 cited earlier, the degree of noise and echo attenuation is established in accordance with a fixed predetermined transfer function which, among other things, effects a level reduction even in the case of very small input signals.

The compander first has the property of transmitting speech signals with a given (previously set) "normal speech signal level" (sometimes called the normal loudness) virtually unchanged from its input to the output.

If, now, the input signal is ever too loud, for example because a speaker comes too close to his microphone, a dynamic compressor limits the output level to almost the same value as in the normal case, in that the actual amplification in the compander is linearly reduced as the input signal becomes louder. Thanks to this property, the speech at the output of the compander system remains at approximately equal loudness regardless of how marked is the fluctuation of the input loudness.

On the other hand, if a signal with a level lower than normal is fed to the input of the compander, the signal is additionally damped in that the amplification is cut back so as to transmit background noise only in attenuated form so far as possible.

Thus, the compander consists of a compressor for speech signal levels higher than or equal to a normal level, and an expander for signal levels

lower than the normal level. In this, the amplification reduction in the expander is more marked the lower is the input level.

A disadvantage of the compander solution is the considerable computational effort required to carry out the known process. Besides, the compression of the speech signal level on the one hand and its expansion on the other hand give rise to a modulation in the loudness of the speech, which changes the speech signal in such a way that the result is often perceived subjectively as unsatisfactory, i.e. it creates an unsatisfactory auditory impression.

The purpose of the present invention, in contrast, is to propose a method having the characteristics described at the start, by means of which, in the least elaborate and most cost-effective way possible and without major computational effort and reduced need for computer memory and data storage space, echo and noise attenuation is achieved by using simple means to produce an overall acoustic impression as pleasant as possible for the human ear, which can in addition be adapted to individual needs according to taste.

According to the invention this objective is achieved in a manner as simple as it is effective, by varying the control signal $a_o(t)$ or $a_o(k)$ in such a way that during the presence of speech signals in the useful signal the amplitude of the control signal $a_o(t)$ or $a_o(k)$ is set to a predetermined constant amplification value c_o and when a silence interval begins in the useful signal the amplitude of the control signal $a_o(t)$ or $a_o(k)$ is continually reduced from one sample value to the next in accordance with the recurrence formula:

$$a_o(k + 1) = a_o(k).\beta \quad \text{where} \quad \beta < 1$$

and after the end of a silence interval $a_o(k)$ is again restored to c_o.

This provides a very simple and cost-effective method, which also achieves surprisingly good quality in relation to the reduction of distortion since it preferably attenuates the distorting echo and noise signals during silence intervals. During the speaking phases themselves, the distorting noise is at least partially masked and therefore obviously perceived by the human ear to a far smaller extent. By doing without compression according to the known compander method, the original speech signal is considerably less changed so that, as a result, a speech signal which as a rule sounds better at the other end of the line is obtained. In addition, the method according to the invention requires less computing power than the compander method, since at least the compression is omitted. Correspondingly, smaller capacities are needed for data storage and computer memory, and compared with the known method this makes the method according to the invention both simpler and cheaper.

To achieve effective noise attenuation, during silence intervals the power of the signal to be transmitted is reduced in accordance with a time-exponential function, in contrast to a reduction that depends on the input level as in the compander method. This already achieves appreciable noise attenuation, and in addition a reduction of noise during a silence interval is clearly less stressful for the hearing since it considerably reduces the deafening effect that occurs after loud noise. When speech is resumed the ear can react more sensitively and listen more accurately.

Advantageously, the factor β is chosen such that the continuous time reduction corresponds approximately to a time constant τ_1 of the perceptiveness of the human ear. This means that after a powerful noise stimulus, the human ear does not perceive new noise stimuli after the end

of the powerful sound stimulus which are in time and amplitude below a variation curve that attenuates with time constant τ_1. A variant of the method according to the invention is therefore preferred, in which the factor β is determined from the sampling rate f_T, a time constant τ_1, and a predefined constant factor c_1, according to the relation
$\beta = c_1 \cdot \exp(-1/\tau_1 f_T)$.

In man, the time constant τ_1 is chosen to be between 50 ms and 150 ms, preferably $\tau_1 \approx 65$ ms.

To dimension the factor β accurately in accordance with the time constant τ_1, it is best to choose $c_o = 1$.

If the continuous exponential attenuation of the distortion signal according to the aforesaid recurrence formula is not limited, the value of $a_o(k)$ will very rapidly become fairly small as k increases, approaching zero. This, however, is not always desired since in many cases people like to hear a low level of residual noise so that during a speech pause the impression will be avoided that the TK line has suddenly "gone dead" or been interrupted. It is therefore preferable to have a variant of the method according to the invention in which during a silence interval and/or in the presence of an echo signal $a_0(k+1)$ assumes a predefined constant value c_2 if the preceding value $a_0(k)$ has become less than or equal to c_2.

Further, it is desirable to adapt the degree of signal level reduction during silence intervals to the momentary situation in the TK channel.

For example, noise can preferably be reduced as a function of the momentary noise level N or in a way that depends on a function g(S/N) of

the signal-to-noise difference S/N, but short-time echoes can be reduced more strongly and, after the end of the echo, the reduction can be restored to the lesser value used for noise reduction.

It is therefore particularly preferable to apply a method variant characterised in that during a silence interval and/or in the presence of an echo signal and for $a_0(k) \leq c_2$, where c_2 is a predefined constant, the power value of the noise level N in the communications channel currently being used is continuously measured and/or estimated, and that depending on the current noise level N, the control signal $a_0(k+1)$ is continuously adjusted according to $a_0(k+1) = f(N)$, where f(N) is a predetermined function of N.

In this way the degree of noise attenuation is automatically controlled as a function of the power N of the noise actually occurring and adapted to the momentary noise value in the telephone channel, being followed in a predetermined and defined way. Via the choice of the function of f(N) the subjective impression of the overall signal produced can also be adapted. Another advantage of this method variant is that in the case of a bundle of telephone channels, for example between international communication stations, the noise situation in each individual channel, which may very well be quite different from one channel to the next, can be automatically adjusted and optimised individually.

Particularly preferred is a variant of the method according to the invention characterised in that the predetermined function f(N) is a function g(S/N), which depends on the quotient S/N of the power value of the signal level S of the useful signals to be transmitted and the power value of the noise level N, or that the predetermined function f(N) is a function g'(N/S), which depends on the reciprocal of said quotient. For reasons of simpler practical realisation, a function of (S + N)/N or (S + N)/S can also be used.

The advantage of the above method variant is that if the useful signal level S in the telephone channels of a bundle is varying markedly, the correct adjustment for noise reduction will always be found. If the noise attenuation is controlled proportionally to the reciprocal N/S, the function g'(N/S) can easily be implemented on a digital signal processor (= DSP) with fixed computer word lengths for example of 16 bits using particularly simple software, since for N/S a numerical range $0 < N/S < 1$ is mainly relevant or of interest for controlling the noise reduction.

Acoustic listening tests have shown that with S/N = 0 dB speech is clearly so distorted that the noise may only be reduced by a value f_o or g_o between 5 and 10 dB, preferably between 6 and 8 dB, to a limited extent if degradation of the overall acoustic impression in relation to natural-sounding speech is to be avoided. At even less favourable values of the signal-to-noise ratio S/N < 0 dB, the value f_o or g_o can be retained since any further noise reduction only worsens the overall impression.

According to these investigations, at mean S/N values the noise reduction can be more pronounced. In this, there is a maximum in the range 10 to 15 dB. The value of the noise attenuation f_{max} or g_{max} should amount at the maximum to between 20 and 30, preferably about 25 dB.

With very good noise values such that S/N > 40 dB, only a minimal reduction between 0 and 3 dB should be effected so that the naturalness of the speech transmitted is kept as good as possible.

The sound of the speech and its understandability are particularly good when the function f(N) or g(S/N) is coherent in a continuous way beyond the three ranges discussed above, whereby rapid changes in N or in S(N) can be smoothed by filtering.

This is relatively simple to realise in terms of hardware and/or software, since the functions f(N) or g(S/N) or g'(N/S) are approximated by straight characteristic line sections between the three aforesaid operating points (sectional linear approximation).

In a somewhat more elaborate variant of the method according to the invention, but one whose result is a better sound picture, a polynomial function is used to implement the continuous functions f(N) or g(S/N) or g'(N/S) in the three ranges discussed, which as a result leads to a type of skewed bell function.

Especially preferable is a variant of the method according to the invention in that the functions f(N) and g(S/N) or g'(N/S) are chosen such that the reduction of the noise level N is aurally compensated in accordance with the psychoacoustic mean value of the spectrum audible by the human ear. In this, the value for S and/or N is determined not solely from the momentary power, but also from a weighted spectral variation of S or N respectively, and overall via the function so obtained a noise reduction appropriate for audition, i.e. one which sounds psycho-acoustically pleasant, is achieved. Since there is no simple measure for a noise reduction that sounds acoustically pleasant, all the quality assessments in extensive listening tests are taken into account and subsequently evaluated by statistical methods optimised for the purpose, in order to obtain an evaluation scale (similarly to the case of speech codecs).

Good noise level estimation necessitates a good silence interval detector, since only then can one be sure that in the silence intervals only distorting noise is present without any mixing at all between noise and snatches of speech, as is often the case in practice.

For that reason a method variant is especially to be preferred which is characterised in that in a silence detector (SPD), a short-time output signal sam(x), a medium-time output signal mam(x), and a long-time output signal lam(x) are formed by means of a short-time level estimator, a medium-time level estimator, and a long-time level estimator, respectively, that the three output signals sam(x), mam(x), and lam(x) are so adjusted via suitable amplification coefficients that they are approximately equal in magnitude when the input signal x is a pure noise signal, with sam(x) <mam(x) <lam(x), that the three output signals sam(x), mam(x), and lam(x) are monitored by comparators, and that the presence of a speech signal as the input signal x is assumed when both sam(x) and mam(x) first become larger than lam(x), while the presence of a silence interval is assumed when thereafter sam(x) and/or mam(x) become smaller than lam(x).

With the help of this relatively simple type of formation of various mean values of the time signal, surprisingly good silence interval detection can already be achieved, which requires only very little computational effort.

A further development of this method variant provides that for silence interval estimation, the three output signals sam(x), mam(x), and lam(x) are fed to a neural network which was trained with a plurality of scenarios with different input signals x. A neuronal network can advantageously picture linear and non-linear relationships between a large number of input parameters and the desired output values. A prerequisite for this is that the neuronal network has first been trained with a sufficient quantity of input values and associated output values. Thus, neuronal networks are particularly well suited for the task of silence interval detection in the presence of various kinds of distorting noise.

Preferably, besides the recognition and reduction of noise signals, the presence of echo signals will also be detected and/or predicted and the

corresponding echo signals suppressed or attenuated. When in a telephone channel echoes occur in addition to noise, these can as a rule be predicted by virtue of a previously determined signal persistence time τ_E of an echo and the previously determined echo coupling ERL in the channel and the signal strength ES that triggers the echo in the return channel. This estimation can be carried out in such a way that as a function of the speech signal emitted and its momentary power, the size of the delayed echo is estimated. If the echo signal estimated in each case exceeds a predetermined threshold value **thrs** within determined short time segments, this echo-affected signal is preferably additionally damped for a short time, for example by means of the above-mentioned exponential attenuation, to a value necessary for an essential reduction of the echo signal. In the same sense, when echoes are present a compander characteristic curve can for a short time be displaced in the direction of greater input loudness and, once the echo has died away, it can be moved back to its original position.

Especially preferred is a further development of this method variant in that the control signal $a_0(k+1)$ is continuously adjusted according to $a_0(k+1) = h(N, S, ES, \tau_E, ERL)$, where $h(N, S, ES, \tau_E, ERL)$ is a predetermined function of the noise level N, the signal level S, the useful signal ES in the opposite direction from a speaking party, the constant delay τ_E of the echo signal, and an attenuation constant ERL of the amplitude of the echo signal.

Advantageously, a noise reduction appropriate for audition can be combined with an echo reduction independent of it. This is particularly important when there is virtually no background noise in the telephone channel, since there is then no noise attenuation and echo signals that occur can therefore reach the caller unimpeded.

Separation of the control of noise reduction from that of echo attenuation is appropriate, since noise and echoes occur independently of one another

and are also typically caused by completely different physical effects. However, a general reduction function R can be generated mathematically, which describes an attenuation of signal levels for both noise and echoes:

$$R(S, N, ES, \tau_E, ERL, \mathbf{thrs}) \sim g(S/N) \,.\, d(ES, \tau_E, ERL, \mathbf{thrs})$$

in which g(S/N) is the noise reduction described earlier and d(...) denotes the independent additionally occurring echo attenuation when the estimated echo signal exceeds the predetermined threshold value **thrs**.

Particularly advantageous is a method variant in which during the time of an echo reduction, an artificial noise signal is added to the useful signal.

At constant noise level, a noise attenuation is also constant. A suddenly occurring additional echo reduction in the speech rhythm means that there will also be a noise attenuation in the speech rhythm (at least in the short time segment). This leads to pulsed background noise which does not sound natural. It is therefore advantageous, at the instants when additional echo reduction takes place, to add to the processed signal a synthetic noise from a suitable noise generator of about the same magnitude as normal background noise. This results in background noise for the listener which is as constant as possible.

The noise generator can be designed such that the artificial noise signal comprises an acoustic signal sequence psycho-acoustically perceived as pleasant (= comfort noise).

Instead of synthetic background noise, however, a section of previously occurring real background noise of appropriate strength can be introduced

during the echo-time segments. The added noise is then virtually no different from the previous noise and therefore results in no distorting acoustical variation for the listener.

The addition of noise to the acoustic masking of effects and the measures for separate treatment of noise and echoes, when these are correctly matched to one another, result in a particularly understandable and pleasant speech impression even in "difficult" environments (echoes plus noise).

Particularly preferable is also a variant of the method according to the invention, in which the useful signal to be transmitted is subjected to a spectral subtraction. The advantage of spectral subtraction with subsequent level attenuation during the speech pauses is that first, by spectral subtraction, part of the distorting noise is eliminated from the speech signal itself, and only after this are the speech pauses freed from noise and echoes in the manner described. Overall, in subjective tests this combination gives better listening impressions than simple spectral subtraction alone.

Finally, a further particularly advantageous variant of the method according to the invention provides that the useful signal to be transmitted is subjected to spectral filtering adapted to the sense of human hearing. Here too, with the means of spectral subtraction an estimate of noise, speech and echoes is first carried out, a masking threshold appropriate for audition is then determined, and the whole signal is then processed via an appropriately adjusted transmission filter such that the speech fraction is as undistorted as possible and the echo and noise fractions are suppressed to as large an extent as possible.

A combination with the subsequent level attenuation during silence intervals improves the listening impression still further.

The scope of the present invention also includes a server unit to support the method according to the invention described above, and a computer program for implementing the method. The method can be realised both as hardware circuit and in the form of a computer program. Nowadays software programming for a powerful DSP is preferred, because new knowledge and additional functions can be implemented more easily by modifying the software on an existing hardware basis. However, processes can also be implemented as hardware modules, for example in TK terminals or telephones.

Further advantages of the invention emerge from the description and figures. Likewise, the characteristics mentioned earlier and any indicated in what follows can in each case be applied individually as such, or several together in any combinations. The embodiments indicated and described are not to be understood as exclusive, but rather, as examples which illustrate the invention.

The invention is illustrated in the figures and will be described in more detail with reference to example embodiments. The figures show:

Fig.1: The control signal a_o in the presence of speech signals, during a silence interval, and when the speech signal resumes

Fig.2: Scheme of an arrangement for controlled signal attenuation

Fig.3a: The function g(S/N) in linear approximation

Fig.3b: The corresponding function g'(N/S)

Fig.4a: The function g(S/N) as a skewed bell curve, and

Fig.4b: The corresponding function g'(N/S).

The control signal a_o shown in Fig.1 as a function of time t and sample number k is kept at a value $c_o = 1$ during a first phase T1 in which speech signals are detected. During a silence interval in the time segment T2 the control signal a_o is reduced to a constant value c_2 slightly above 0, and then, when the speech signal resumes during a phase T3, it is sharply increased again to the value $c_o = 1$ (or to some other, freely selectable constant). Consequently, during the speech phases T1, T3 there is no (or in other examples only a slight) suppression of distorting signals in the overall signal, so that the speech signal is transmitted as unmodified and as unimpeded as possible. During the silence interval in phase T2, the most effective suppression of echoes and noise signals is implemented as quickly as possible (exponentially), although in the present example these are attenuated not to 0 but to a small residual value $c_{2,}$ to avoid creating the impression of a "dead" line at the other end. When echoes occur, attenuation takes place down to a residual value of

$c_3 < c_2$.

Fig.2 illustrates schematically the functional mode of an arrangement for noise and echo reduction with a silence interval detector, corresponding to the above-mentioned reduction function R(S, N, ES, τ_E, ERL, **thrs**).

For all the curves shown in Figs.3a to 4b, the function value g or g' for the case in which S/N < 0 dB, i.e. when the noise background is extremely high, changes to a constant value g_o of the noise reduction equal to approximately 6 dB. Starting from S/N = 0 dB, as the signal-to-noise ratio S/N improves progressively, increased noise reduction takes place up to a maximum $g_{max} \simeq$ 25 dB at approximately S/N 12 dB. If S/N increases further, the degree of noise reduction finally falls towards zero so that when little background noise is present, as little manipulation of the useful signal transmitted will take place.

Patent Claims

1. A method of reducing echo and/or noise signals in telecommunications systems for transmitting useful acoustic signals, particularly human speech, comprising determining by silence detection when the mixture of useful signals and interference signals contains a speech signal or when a silence interval is present, and varying, by means of a two-input multiplier, the amplitude of the useful signals, which are generally disturbed by echo and/or noise signals, in response to a time-dependent control signal $a_0(t)$ or a control signal $a_0(k)$ clocked at a sampling rate $f_T = 1/T$, where $k \in \aleph$ denotes the number of samples, and T denotes the period from one sample to the next,

characterized in

that the control signal $a_0(t)$ or $a_0(k)$ is varied in such a way that in the presence of speech signals in the useful signal, the amplitude of the control signal $a_0(t)$ or $a_0(k)$ is set to a predetermined constant value c_0, that from the beginning of a silence interval in the useful signal, the amplitude of the control signal $a_0(t)$ or $a_0(k)$ is continuously reduced from one sample to the next according to the recursion formula

$$a_0(k+1) = a_0(k) \cdot \beta , \qquad \text{where } \beta < 1,$$

and that after the end of a silence interval, $a_0(k)$ is set equal to c_0.

2. A method as claimed in claim 1, characterized in that the factor β is determined from the sampling rate f_T, a time constant τ_1, and a predefined constant factor c_1 according to the relation

$$\beta = c_1 \cdot \exp(-1/\tau_1 f_T).$$

3. A method as claimed in claim 2, characterized in that the time constant τ_1 is chosen to be between 50 ms and 150 ms, preferably $\tau_1 \approx 65$ ms.

4. A method as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, characterized in that the constant value c_0 is chosen to be equal to 1.

5. A method as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, characterized in that during a silence interval and/or in the presence of an echo signal $a_0(k+1)$ assumes a predefined constant value c_2 if the preceding value $a_0(k)$ has become less than or equal to c_2.

6. A method as claimed in any one of claims1 to 4, characterized in that during a silence interval and/or in the presence of an echo signal and for $a_0(k) \leq c_2$, where c_2 is a predefined constant, the power value of the noise level N in the communications channel currently being used is continuously measured and/or estimated, and that depending on the current noise level N, the control signal $a_0(k+1)$ is continuously adjusted according to $a_0(k+1) = f(N)$, where f(N) is a predetermined function of N.

7. A method as claimed in claim 6, characterized in that the predetermined function f(N) is a function g(S/N), which depends on the quotient S/N of the power value of the signal level S of the useful signals to be transmitted and the power value of the noise level N, or that the predetermined function f(N) is a function g'(N/S), which depends on the reciprocal of said quotient.

8. A method as claimed in claim 7, characterized in that, if 1/N<< 1 or S/N = 0 dB, the function f(N) or g(S/N) begins with a constant value $f_0 > 0$ or $g_0 > 0$, respectively, rises to a maximum f_{max} or g_{max} in the range between N or S/N = 10 dB to 15 dB, respectively,

preferably at N or S/N $\approx$ 12 dB, respectively, and then decreases to a minimum value f_{min} or g_{min}, respectively, preferably to 0 dB, where 5 dB $\leq f_0, g_0 \leq$ 10 dB, preferably 6 dB $\leq f_0, g_0 \leq$ 8 dB, and where 20 dB $\leq f_{max}, g_{max} \leq$ 30 dB, preferably $f_{max}, g_{max} \approx$25 dB.

9. A method as claimed in any one of claims 6 to 8, characterized in that the function f(N) or g(S/N) is linear at least in sections, preferably in all its sections.

10. A method as claimed in any one of claims 6 to 8, characterized in that the function f(N) or g(S/N) consists of polynomials and is a skewed bell-shaped curve.

11. A method as claimed in any one of claims 6 to 10, characterized in that the functions f(N) and g(S/N) or g'(N/S) are chosen such that the reduction of the noise level N is aurally compensated in accordance with the psychoacoustic mean value of the spectrum audible by the human ear.

12. A method as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, characterized in that in addition to the detection and reduction of noise signals, the presence of echo signals is detected and/or predicted, and that the echo signals are suppressed or reduced.

13. A method as claimed in claim 12 and in any one of claims 6 to 11, characterized in that the control signal $a_0(k+1)$ is continuously adjusted according to $a_0(k+1) = h(N, S, ES, \tau_E, ERL)$, where $h(N, S, ES, \tau_E, ERL)$ is a predetermined function of the noise level N, the signal level S, the useful signal ES in the opposite direction from a speaking party, the constant delay τ_E of the echo signal, and an attenuation constant ERL of the amplitude of the echo signal.

14. A method as claimed in claim 12, characterized in that the reduction of noise signals and the reduction of echo signals are controlled separately.

15. A method as claimed in any one of claims 12 to 14, characterized in that during the time of an echo reduction, an artificial noise signal is added to the useful signal.

16. A method as claimed in claim 15, characterized in that the artificial noise signal comprises an acoustic signal sequence perceived to be psychoacoustically pleasant (= comfort noise).

17. A method as claimed in claim 15, characterized in that the artificial noise signal comprises a noise signal previously recorded during the current communication.

18. A method as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, characterized in

that in a silence detector (SPD), a short-time output signal sam(x), a medium-time output signal mam(x), and a long-time output signal lam(x) are formed by means of a short-time level estimator, a medium-time level estimator, and a long-time level estimator, respectively,

that the three output signals sam(x), mam(x), and lam(x) are so adjusted via suitable amplification coefficients that they are approximately equal in magnitude when the input signal x is a pure noise signal, with

$sam(x) < mam(x) < lam(x)$,

that the three output signals sam(x), mam(x), and lam(x) are monitored by comparators, and

that the presence of a speech signal as the input signal x is assumed when both sam(x) and mam(x) first become larger than lam(x), while the presence of a silence interval is assumed when thereafter sam(x) and/or mam(x) become smaller than lam(x).

19. A method as claimed in claim 18, characterized in that for silence interval estimation, the three output signals sam(x), mam(x), and lam(x) are fed to a neural network which was trained with a plurality of scenarios with different input signals x.

20. A method as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, characterized in that the useful signal to be transmitted is subjected to a spectral subtraction.

21. A method as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, characterized in that the useful signal to be transmitted is subjected to spectral filtering adapted to the sense of human hearing.

22. A server unit for supporting the method claimed in any one of claims 1 to 21.

23. A computer program for carrying out the method claimed in any one of claims 1 to 21.

Summary

Method for the reduction of echo and/or noise signals in TK systems for the transmission of useful acoustic signals, in which it is determined by means of silence interval detection when a silence interval is present, and the distorted useful signal is then modified by a time-dependent control signal $a_o(t)$m or by a control signal $a_o(k)$ cycled in the rhythm of a scan rate $f_T = 1/T$. The method is characterised in that the control signal $a_o(k)$ is varied in such manner that during the presence of speech signals in the useful signal the amplitude of the control signal $a_o(k)$ is set to a predetermined constant value c_o and when a silence interval begins the amplitude of the control signal $a_o(k)$ is reduced continuously from one sample value to the next in accordance with the recurrence formula $a_o(k + 1) = a_o(k) .\beta$ with $\beta < 1$. After the end of the silence interval $a_o(k)$ is again set equal to c_o. In this way, echo and noise attenuation can be effected simply, cost-effectively, without great computational effort, and with modest need for computer memory and data storage space. With simple means, the said echo and noise reduction produce an overall impression acoustically as pleasant as possible for the human ear, which can be adapted to individual needs according to taste.

(Fig.1).



$a_0(k)$
T1
T2
T3
$c_0=1$
0,5
c_2
0
0
k
t

Fig. 1



N
S
E
S + N + E
N, S
SPD
g(S/N)
$a_0(k)$
S, ES, ERL, τ_E,
d(echo)
ES

Fig. 2


g(S/N)
dB
-18
-12
- 6
0
0
12
24
S/N [dB]

Fig. 3 a


g'(N/S)
dB real
0 1,0
-3 0,75
-6 0,5
-12 0,25
- ∞ 0
N/S
0 0,25 0,5 0,75 1,0
- ∞ -12 -6 -3 0 [dB]

Fig. 3 b


g(S/N)
dB
-18
-12
-6
0
0
12
24
S/N [dB]

Fig. 4 a


g'(N/S)
dB
real
0
1,0
-3
0,75
-6
0,5
-12
0,25
- ∞
0
N/S
0
- ∞
0,25
-12
0,5
-6
0,75
-3
1,0
0
[dB]

Fig. 4 b

SOLE/JOINT

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name: that I verily believe I am an original, first and joint inventor, together with the other inventors listed below, of the subject matter claimed and for which a patent is sought in the application entitled:

Exponential echo and noise reduction in silence intervals

which application is:

☐ the attached application (for original application)

☐ Application Serial No: filed , and amended on

(for declaration not accompanying application)

that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the specification of the above-identified application, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above; that I acknowledge my duty to disclose information of which I am aware which is material to the patentability of this application under 37 C.F.R. 1.56, that I hereby claim priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code §119, §172 or §365 of any provisional application or foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified on said list any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate on this invention having a filing date before that of any foreign application on which priority is claimed:

Application Number	Country	Filing Date	Priority Claimed
199 57 221.6	Germany	November 27, 1999	yes

I hereby claim the benefit of Title 35, United States Code §120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in a listed prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, §112, I acknowledge my duty to disclose any information material to the patentability of this application under 37 C.F.R. 1.56 which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

Application Serial No.	Filing Date	Status

I hereby appoint John H. Mion, Reg. No. 18,879; Thomas J. Macpeak, Reg. No. 19,292; Robert J. Seas, Jr., Reg. No. 21,092; Darryl Mexic, Reg. No. 23,063; Robert V. Sloan, Reg. No. 22,775; Peter D. Olexy, Reg. No. 24,513; J. Frank Osha, Reg. No. 24,625; Waddell A. Biggart, Reg. No. 24,861; Louis Gubinsky, Reg. No. 24,835; Neil B. Siegel, Reg. No. 25,200; David J. Cushing, Reg. No. 28,703; John R. Inge, Reg. No. 26,916; Joseph J. Ruch, Jr., Reg. No. 26,577; Sheldon I. Landsman, Reg. No. 25,430; Richard C. Turner, Reg. No. 29,710; Howard L. Bernstein, Reg. No. 25,665; Alan J. Kasper, Reg. No. 25,426; Kenneth J. Burchfiel, Reg. No. 31,333; Gordon Kit, Reg. No. 30,764; Susan J. Mack, Reg. No. 30,951; Frank L. Bernstein, Reg. No. 31,484; Mark Boland, Reg. No. 32,197; William H. Mandir, Reg. No. 32,156; Scott M. Daniels, Reg. No. 32,562; Brian W. Hannon, Reg. No. 32,778; Abraham J. Rosner, Reg. No. 33,276; Bruce E. Kramer, Reg. No. 33,725; Paul F. Neils, Reg. No. 33,102; Brett S. Sylvester, Reg. No. 32,765; and Robert M. Masters, Reg. No. 35,603, my attorneys to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith, and request that all correspondence about the application be addressed to **SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC**, 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20037-3213.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

Date November 02, 2000 First Inventor Hans-Jürgen (First Name) (Middle Initial) Dr. MATT (Last Name)

Residence Remseck (City) Germany (State/Country) Signature Hans J. Matt

Post Office Address: Schumannstrasse 6
71686 Remseck, Germany

Citizenship German

Date November 02, 2000

Second Inventor: Michael (First Name) — Middle Initial — WALKER (Last Name)

Residence: Baltmannsweiler (City), Germany (State/Country)

Signature: Michael T Walker

Post Office Address: Ringstrasse 35
73666 Baltmannsweiler, Germany

Citizenship: German

Date November 02, 2000

Third Inventor: Michael (First Name) — Middle Initial — MAURER (Last Name)

Residence: Waiblingen (City), Germany (State/Country)

Signature: Michael

Post Office Address: Im Raisger 28
71336 Waiblingen, Germany

Citizenship: German
